



Proposal Evaluation Criteria

Eligible projects will be evaluated using the following evaluation questions, each with a specific score weight. Total score 100

| | Sub-Characteristics | Items | Score Weight |
|--------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| | 1. Relevance of the proposed project | | |
| | | 1.1 Are the overall proposed project objectives and expected outcomes innovative and clearly defined? | 5 |
| | | 1.2 Does the proposed project display a clear understanding of the barriers or challenges being addressed? | 5 |
| | | 1.3 Is the proposed project relevant to the specific focus of this CFP, and contributes to the achievement of the CFP objectives? Is the proposed project relevant to the recognized targeted beneficiaries' identified needs and priorities? | 10 |
| | 2. Method of implementation | | 10 |
| Technical Criteria | • | 2.1 Are the proposed methods of implementation innovative, clear, coherent, and adequate for achieving the proposed project goals and the expected results and outcomes? | 10 |
| | 3. Targeted beneficiaries | | 15 |
| | | 3.1 Does the project target the right beneficiaries depending on the pathway they are focusing on? Is the method of choosing them realistic and achievable? | 5 |
| | | 3.2 Does the project promote gender equality during its implementation and delivery, as well as content-wise, while attempts will be made to ensure the maximum participation of women? | 5 |
| | | 3.2 Does the project address marginalised and vulnerable groups? | 5 |
| | 4. Risks, Impact, Sustainability and Replicability | | 15 |
| | | 4.1 Does the description of the current constraints the requesting organisation faces in achieving its goals and the root causes of these problems clear and the interventions required by the project to solve these problems logical? | 5 |
| | | 4.2 Does the project have the potential to be scaled up and/or replicated over time and be implemented elsewhere? | 5 |
| | | 4.3 Does the project develop and/or transfer knowledge, skills, and technologies to the local stakeholders for future sustainability or upscaling of the project? | 5 |
| | 5. Monitoring & Evaluation | | 10 |
| | | 5.1 Is there serious consideration of monitoring and evaluation throughout the lifespan of the proposed | 10 |





















| | project? Is there a relatively clear plan(s) of quality assurance, monitoring, and evaluation? | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | 6. Logical Framework and Budget | | |
| | 6.1 Is the budget clear, sufficient & consistent with project activities and goal? | 5 | |
| | 6.2 Does the budget offer value for money and are expenditures reasonable for what is offered? | 5 | |
| | 6.3 Does the logical framework present a clear coherence between project activities and project level outputs. Means of verification, risks and assumptions are clear and logical. | 5 | |
| Implementing Capacity Criteria | 1. General and Structural Capacity | | |
| | 1.1 Does the implementing organization's track record match the scale and nature of the proposed project? Does the implementing organization have experience in implementing projects that target refugees? | 5 | |
| | 1.2 Is the number and experience of staff assigned to the proposed project appropriate to implement the proposed activities? | 5 | |
| | 1.3 Is there evidence of financial accountability with the last 2 | 5 | |

For evaluators only

Do you recommend this project to be funded? Why?













